

**Capital Facilities Grants Prioritization
State Library Board Evaluation Rubric**

SCORE

| REQUIRED CRITERIA | 12 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Goals of the Application / Capital Project | The project has a critical infrastructure requirement. | The project has an essential infrastructure requirement. | The project has an important infrastructure requirement. | The project has a worthwhile infrastructure component. |
| Public Benefit | There is evidence of very strong internal <u>and</u> external public benefit for the project on a very broad scale. | There is evidence of strong internal <u>or</u> external public benefit for the project on a wide scale. | There is evidence of sufficient internal or external public benefit for the project. | There is evidence that neither internal nor external public benefit for the project is sufficient to justify funding at the level requested. |
| Strategic Value of Partnership(s) | The evidence indicates that the partners participating in this project bring significant new and/or strengthened strategic value to this library and even libraries in general. | The evidence indicates that the partners participating in this project bring new or important strategic value to this library and possibly libraries in general. | The evidence indicates worthwhile levels of support from partners. | The strategic value of partners in this project appears to be insufficient to justify funding at the level requested. |

SCORE

| OPTIONAL CRITERIA | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
|---------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Costs/Efficiencies | The evidence indicates that the project is in the top one quarter of considered projects in terms of high efficiency, low cost, and high benefits. | The evidence indicates that the project is in the second one quarter of considered projects in terms of high efficiency, low cost, and high benefits. | The evidence indicates that the project is in the third one quarter of considered projects in terms of high efficiency, low cost, and high benefits. | The evidence indicates that the project is in the bottom one quarter of considered projects in terms of high efficiency, low cost, and high benefits. |
| Other | The additional evidence strongly suggests that the project should receive a much more favorable evaluation. | The additional evidence suggests that the project should receive a more favorable evaluation. | The additional evidence may suggest that the project should receive a slightly more favorable evaluation. | The additional evidence is not clearly relevant to project prioritization. |

Weightings

- Goals of Project - 25%
- Public Benefit - 25%
- Strategic Value - 25%
- Costs/Efficiencies + Other - 25%